INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERS AND RESEARCHERS

PROCEEDINGS OF

TheIIER

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE

MILAN, ITALY

Date: 26th - 27th June 2023

In Association With:

ARSSS
ADVANCED RESEARCH SOCIETY FOR SCIENCE AND SOCIOLOGY

INSTITUTE OF RESEARCH AND JOURNALS
IRAJ

Proceedings of

TheIIER

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE

MILAN, ITALY

Organized by

INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERS AND RESEARCHERS

Date of Event:

26th – 27th June, 2023

Event Co-Sponsored by

ADVANCED RESEARCH SOCIETY FOR SCIENCE AND SOCIOLOGY

Corporate Address

INSTITUTE OF RESEARCH AND JOURNALS
Plot No- 30, Dharma Vihar, Khandagiri, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India
Mail: info@iraj.in, www.iraj.in

Publisher: **Institute for Technology and Research (ITRESEARCH)**

© 2023, TheIIER International Conference, Milan, Italy

ISBN: 978-93-90150-28-1

Edn: 422

No part of this book can be reproduced in any form or by any means without prior written permission of the publisher.

Disclaimer: Authors have ensured sincerely that all the information given in this book is accurate, true, comprehensive, and correct right from the time it has been brought in writing. However, the publishers, the editors, and the authors are not to be held responsible for any kind of omission or error that might appear later on, or for any injury, damage, loss, or financial concerns that might arise as consequences of using the book.

Type set & Printed by:

Institute for Technology and Research (ITRESEARCH)

Bhubaneswar, India

About IRAJ:

Institute of Research and Journal (IRAJ) is an advanced Non-profit technological forum registered under Peoples Empowerment Trust, situated at Bhubaneswar, Odisha, for the Researchers & Scholars "to promote the progress of Science and Technology" by displaying their knowledge in the vicinity of Science and Technology for the service of mankind and the advancement of the general welfare.

Objective of IRAJ:

- To provide a world class platform to researchers to share the research findings by organizing International/National Conferences.
- To use the research output of the conference in the class room for the benefits of the students.
- To encourage researchers to identify significant research issues in identified areas, in the field of Science, Engineering, Technology and Management.
- To help dissemination of their work through publications in a journal or in the form of conference proceedings or books.
- To help them in getting feedback on their research work for improving the same and making them more relevant and meaningful, through collective efforts.
- To encourage regional and international communication and collaboration; promote professional interaction and lifelong learning; recognize outstanding contributions of individuals and organizations; encourage scholar researchers to pursue studies and careers in circuit branches and its applications.
- To set up, establish, maintain and manage centers of excellence for the study of /on related subjects and discipline and also to run self supporting projects for the benefit of needy persons, irrespective of their caste, creed or religion.

About TheIIER:

International Institute of Engineers and Researchers (IIER) is a **non-profit organization** that promotes the Engineering and Technology, related latest developments and issues to be discussed and experimented through interactions amongst the researchers and academician across the globe at a common platform in association with PET.

Conference Committee

Program Chair:

Dr. P. Suresh
M.E, Ph.D. Professor and Controller of Examinations,
Karpagam College of Engineering.,
Coimbatore, India.

Bharatkumar Z Dholakiya
Sardar Vallabhbhai National Institute of Institute, Surat, India

Conference Manager:
Mr. Bijan Kumar Barik

Conference Convener:

Miss. Dipsa Rout, The IIER
Mob: +91-9007375847

Publication and Distribution Head:
Mr. Manas Ranjan Prusty

INTERNATIONAL ADVISORY MEMBERS

Prof. Goodarz Ahmadi,
Professor, Mechanical and Aeronautical Engineering, Clarkson University, USA

Dr Chi Hieu Le,
Senior Lecturer, University of Greenwich. Kent ME4 4TB. United Kingdom

PROF. (ER.) Anand Nayyar
Department of Computer Applications & I.T.KCL Institute of Management and Technology, Jalandhar
G.T. Road, Jalandhar-144001,Punjab, India.

Prof. R. M. Khaire,
Professor,Dept. Of Elex. and Telecommunication,B, V University,India

Dr.P. Suresh,
Professor, Karpagam College of Engineering, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu

Mark Leeson
Associate Professor (Reader)
Area of Expertise:nanoscale communications,
evolutionary algorithms, network coding and communication systems

Dr. P. K. Agarwal
Professor,Deptt. of Civil Engineering, MANIT Bhopal ,Ph. D: IIT Kanpur
M.E: Civil Engg.IIT Roorkee, Membership: Indian Road Congress (IRC), Institute of Urban Transport (IUT)

Shahriar Shahbazpanahi
Islamic Azad University,
Department of Civil Engineering, Sanandaj, Kurdistan, Iran, PhD (Structural Engineering),
University Putra Malaysia, Malaysia,2009-Present

Harun Bin Sarip
Head of Research and Innovation, Dept, UniKL-MICET
Doctorate: Université de La Rochelle, France
Member : International Society of Pharmaceutical Engineer, Singapore Chapter

Dr. Md. Al-Amin Bhuiyan
Associate Professor
Dept. of Computer Engineering, King Faisal University
Al Ahssa 31982, Saudi Arabia

Prof. (Er.) Anand nayyar
Department of Computer Applications & I.T.
KCL Institute of Management and Technology, Jalandhar
G.T. Road, Jalandhar-144001, Punjab, India

Prof. Aleksandr Cariow
institution or Company: West Pomeranian University of
Technology, Szczecin

Dr. P. K. Agarwal
Professor,Deptt. of Civil Engineering, MANIT Bhopal ,Ph. D: IIT Kanpur
M.E: Civil Engg.IIT Roorkee, Membership: Indian Road Congress (IRC), Institute of Urban Transport (IUT)

Dr. VPS Naidu
Principal Scientist & Assoc. Prof., MSDF Lab, FMCD
CSIR - National Aerospace Laboratories, Bangalore, India

Mr. P. Sita Rama Reddy
Chief Scientist ,Mineral Processing Department, CSIR - Institute of Minerals & Materials Technology
Bhubaneswar,India, M.Tech. (Chem. Engg., IIT, KGP)

Dr.P.C.Srikanth,
Professor & Head, E&C Dept, Malnad College of Engineering,Karnataka
Senior Member IEEE, Secretary IEEE Photonics Society,
M.Tech: IIT, Kanpur, Ph.D: In IISc Photonics lab

Prof. Lalit Kumar Awasthi,
Professor,Department of Computer Science & Engineering
National Institute of Technology(NIT-Hamirpur),
PhD, IIT, Roorkee, M. Tech, IIT, Delhi

Dr. Chandra Mohan V.P.
Assistant Professor, Dept. of Mech. Engg., NIT Warangal,
Warangal. Ph.D : Indian Institute of Technology(IIT),Delhi
M.B.A: Alagappa University

Prof. I.Suneetha,
Associate Professor,Dept. of ECE,AITS,Tirupati,India

Dr.s. Chandra Mohan Reddy,
Assistant Professor (SG) &Head,Dept. of Electronics & Communication Engineering, JNTUA College of Engineering, Pulivendula,
Ph.D,J.N.T. University Anantapur, Anantapuramu

Gurudatt Anil Kulkarni,
I/C HOD E&TC Department,MARATHWADA MITRA MANDAL'S POLYTECHNIC

Pasuluri Bindu Swetha
Dept. Of ECE,Stanley college of Engineering & Technology for Women,Hyderabad, India

★★★

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sl.No.	TITLES AND AUTHORS	Page No.
01.	**Early Prediction of Cardivascular Disease Using Ensemble Machine Learning Techniques** ➢ *Abdullah Saad Al-Malaise Al-Ghamdi*	**1-6**
02.	**Choice Between Urban and Nature Tourism During the Covid -19 Pandemic in International Tourism** ➢ *Sharon Teitler-Regev, Shlomit Hon-Snir*	**7**
03.	**Covid-19 and the Impact on Outbound Student Mobility From the United States** ➢ *Joshua S. Mckeown*	**8**
04.	**Analysis of the Administrative Reform Proses in Sudan** ➢ *Almalaz Siralkhatim Ibrahim Osman*	**9**
05.	**Safeguards for Protecting The Rights and Lawful Interests of Suspects in the Criminal Proceedings in the Republic of Kazakhsta** ➢ *Asselsharipova, Gulzhanmukhamadyeva, Aizhanaryn*	**10-13**
06.	**Hematological Characteristics of Diabetic Patients with Covid 19 Infection** ➢ *Raneem O. Salem, Ayesha Nuzhat, Shawana Zaheer, Majd Aldeen Kallash*	**14-18**
07.	**Assessment of Social and Economic Inequality of the Regions of Kazakhstan** ➢ *Gaukhar kenzhegulova, Moldir T. Satpayeva, Tsoy Alexandr, Kuralay Nurgaliyeva, Perizatorynbet*	**19-24**
08.	**Determination of Traffic Safety with Methods Alternative to Traditional Methods** ➢ *Coruhemine, Tortum Ahmet*	**25-30**
09.	**Concrete Mixture With Plastic As Fine Aggregate Replacement** ➢ *Chien-Chung Chen, Nathan Jaffe, Matt Koppitz, Wesley Weimer, Albert Polocoser*	**31-35**
10.	**Influence of the FLC'S Parameters of the UPQC in the Distributed Generation** ➢ *C. Benachaiba, B. Mazari, M. Habab, C. Benoudjafer, N. M. Tandjaoui*	**36-41**
11.	**Impact of Plant Height And Irrigation on Thermal Performance of Extensive Green Roofs In Riyadh City** ➢ *Ashraf Muharam, Elsayed Amer, Nasser Al-Hemiddi*	**42-48**

12. **Faculty Researchers and Non-Researchers in the Context of Teaching Performance and Personal Profile** **49-54**

- *Jake M. Laguador, Joseph Cezar L. Deligero, Cecilia C. Pring Polocoser*

13. **A Study Analysis For The Level of Criminality in Albania In Relation to The Impacts of Economic Factors Examined Through The Multiple Regression Model and The Dea Method** **55-61**

- *Blerta (Kristo) Nazarko*

★★★

EDITORIAL

It is my proud privilege to welcome you all to the TheIIER International Conference at Milan, Italy. I am happy to see the papers from all part of the world and some of the best paper published in this proceedings. This proceeding brings out the various Research papers from diverse areas of Science, Engineering, Technology and Management. This platform is intended to provide a platform for researchers, educators and professionals to present their discoveries and innovative practice and to explore future trends and applications in the field Science and Engineering. However, this conference will also provide a forum for dissemination of knowledge on both theoretical and applied research on the above said area with an ultimate aim to bridge the gap between these coherent disciplines of knowledge. Thus the forum accelerates the trend of development of technology for next generation. Our goal is to make the Conference proceedings useful and interesting to audiences involved in research in these areas, as well as to those involved in design, implementation and operation, to achieve the goal.

I once again give thanks to the Institute of Research and Journals & TheIIER, IGRNet, ARSSS, ISER, RESEARCHFORA for organizing this event in Milan, Italy. I am sure the contributions by the authors shall add value to the research community. I also thank all the International Advisory members and Reviewers for making this event a Successful one.

Editor-In-Chief

Dr.P. Suresh
M.E, Ph.D. Professor and Controller of Examinations,
Karpagam College of Engineering,
Coimbatore, India

★★★

ASSESSMENT OF SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC INEQUALITY OF THE REGIONS OF KAZAKHSTAN

[1]GAUKHAR KENZHEGULOVA, [2]MOLDIR T. SATPAYEVA, [3]TSOY ALEXANDR, [4]KURALAY NURGALIYEVA, [5]PERIZATORYNBET

[1,2,3,4,5] Kenzhegali Sagadiyev University of International Business, Kazakhstan
E-mail:[1]gaukhar.kenzhegulova@gmail.com, [2]satpaeva_moldir@mail.ru, [3]alt@ayattranstrade.kz, [4]nurgalieva.k@uib.kz, [5]perizat.orynbet@mail.ru

Abstract- Kazakhstan has a big territory area. The republic is in the ninth place among the countries of the world. Because of negative social and economic consequences of interregional differences in socio-economic development, it is necessary to evaluate the main parameters of interregional stratification and socio-economic inequality. The aim of this study is to assess the social and economic inequality in Kazakhstan on regional level. The methodology of the study based on measurement of interregional disproportion of socio-economic development through comparison gross regional product per capita of 14 regions and 3 cities of republican significance relative to gross domestic product per capita for 2010–2020. The database ofstatistical data was the Bureau of National Statistics. Limitation of the study was lack of data for 2021 and 2022 for 20 regions of Kazakhstan. During the study, it was revealed that there is a socio-economic inequality in Kazakhstan, and it had intensified from 2010-2020. Obtained results will be useful to development of regional policy in inclusive development and overcome socio-economic inequality.

Keywords - Inequality, Regions, Regional Differentiation, Socio-Economic Inequality, Factors Of Socio-Economic Inequality

I. INTRODUCTION

Kazakhstan occupies the ninth place among the countries of the world in terms of territory area, in addition, it has a huge natural resource potential. According to the administrative-territorial structure, the country is divided into 17 regions and 3 cities of republican significance. In connection with the above facts, it is not difficult to imagine how acute the problem of socio-economic inequality in the regions is. In principle, it can be assumed that it affects almost all countries of the world whose territory is over 1 square kilometer. Because this lack of communication and similarity of randomly located settlements complicates the same development of all regions.

This can be due to different climatic, geographical zones, as well as the differential distribution of minerals across the territory, the specifics of specialization and production infrastructure. Even the peculiarities of the character, mentality and traditions of the population can be the reason for the inequality of socio-economic development of regions. It can be observed that all these differences, which led to social and economic inequality in the regions of Kazakhstan, were especially expressed in the middle of the twentieth century, when global industrialization began. In addition, since Kazakhstan gained independence, it has experienced many crises. In this regard, post-crisis stabilization measures and changes in the orientation of economic growth have shown the paramount importance of smoothing the socio-economic inequality of regional development for the speedy and effective achievement of the goals set for the state. Unfortunately, there is still a high differentiation of regional development in terms of economic and social indicators in Kazakhstan. If appropriate measures are not taken, and this trend persists, it can lead to the collapse of the country's economic security and a decrease in the standard of living of the population and the economy as a whole. Because backward and depressed areas can pull the entire economy of the country down as an anchor, only sucking in all the extra money from the state budget. Social and economic inequality can manifest itself for economic reasons, such as, for example, differentiation of wages and, accordingly, prices in the regions, imperfection of redistributive mechanisms of the state, and much more. At the same time, economic activity has had a huge impact on individual districts, and for many years many regions have been subjected to strong structural changes.

As practice shows, regional economic inequality is a great danger to economic development, social unity and political stability. Unfortunately, the market mechanisms and policies that should promote prosperity and empowerment are no longer so effective. For example, in the European Union there are several different modes of regional economic activity that meet different challenges and development opportunities. But they, too, in turn, are not ideal and are not applicable for each of them, respectively, it is necessary to solve such problems point-by-point, taking into account the peculiarities of this region. The exceptionally high degree of the existing differentiation in the economy and social sphere of Kazakhstan determines the relevance of the state regional policy aimed at consistently overcoming differences in the living standards of the population of the regions, leveling the socio-

economic conditions of doing business, activating the processes of self-development of the most diverse subjects of the country.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The study of the inequality of socio-economic development of regions today is an urgent task of our time and occupies many minds of Kazakhstani and foreign scientists. Many authors prefer to use the phrase inequality and differentiation of regional development. This problem arises due to a variety of factors affecting it. For example, it may be a clear divergence of political, economic, social, ethnic, territorial, agglomeration and other structures that form a spatial heterogeneity of development (Usmanov, 2014). Other authors believe that the problem is not localized within the framework of the above-mentioned structures, it also permeates all aspects of society, intensifies crisis processes, aggravates the severity of the national issue, complicates political contradictions. And he believes that the problem of regional inequality is fundamentally of a deep nature, because it is caused by the natural and historical features of the country's development (Knyaginin& Lipetsk, 2008). The following authors explain the existence of these different regional development trajectories with the weakness of convergence processes between regions, and believe that a special approach is needed that strengthens the strongest regions and develops new approaches to promote opportunities in industrially declining and less developed regions (Iammarino et al., 2019). One of the reasons for the differentiated development of regions is also considered to be the different level of innovative development of regions (Ling, 2001). Another scientist studies the problems of inequality in urban development, and believes that this side of inequality is less noticeable, since such inequality has existed for centuries (Zubarevich&Safronov, 2013). Many authors investigate the relationship between economic growth and regional income inequality in a spatial econometric perspective and consider it the main factor in the differentiation of regional development (Panzera&Postiglione, 2022; Perugini& Martino, 2008; Prieto-Rodríguez et al., 2010). Thus, the problem of identifying factors of socio-economic inequality of regions in order to develop and implement appropriate policies is relevant for many countries and regions of the world (Usmanov, 2018). In this regard, domestic scientists propose to improve the regional policy of territorial development, which will be able to reduce the scale of intraregional differentiation of territories (Sermagambet & Smagulova, 2021). Some Russian scientists fear the disappearance of entire regions. They consider the features and opportunities of strategic development of the regions of the Republic of Kazakhstan, as well as trends in regional development and problems of regional extinction (Ibragimova&Turysbekova, 2022). It is obvious that the solution of these most important problems of management theory and practice should be based on analytical approaches that comprehensively and adequately take into account changes in the processes of socio-economic development of regions, allowing to identify the dynamics of the asymmetry of regional development, to make a comparative analysis of the levels of socio-economic development of regions. In this regard, the identification of strengths and weaknesses of existing approaches, methods and methodological schemes for diagnosing the phenomenon of interregional differentiation is of undoubted interest for the theory and practice of state regulation of territorial development (Skufina, 2007). The aim of this study is to assess the social and economic inequality in Kazakhstan on regional level.

III. METHODOLOGY

Socio-economic inequality in Kazakhstani regions were studied by DEA method (Kireyeva et al., 2022) and index method (Nurlanova et al., 2019; Tamenova et al., 2020; Sermagambet et al., 2022). In these methods one of main indicator that shape the dynamics of interregional stratification is gross regional product (GRP). So, in the study of inter-regional differences, the assessment of socio-economic differences, the dynamics of GRP indicators is analyzed. Another main indicator that characterizes the results of economic activity is the average per capita income of the population. Both indicators have positive impact on social development and closely related to it. Based on this, the assessment of the dynamics of regional inequality in the regions of Kazakhstan was carried out according to two indicators: GRP and wages.

The database of this study is statistical data from Bureau of National Statistics for 2010, 2015, 2018 and 2020. Limitation of the study was lack of data for 2021 and 2022 for all regions. Before 2021 in Kazakhstan there were 14 regions and 3 cities of republican significance. At this moment there are no data for 3 new regions. Based on official data for 17 regions of Kazakhstan including 3 cities, for the purpose to identify regions with low, medium and high socio-economic development one indicator was calculated - growth or decrease in GRP per capita for each region relative to the average value for Kazakhstan.

Further, the regions were grouped into six groups depending on the calculated values according to the average value in the country: more than 150%, 110-150%, 85-100%, 75-85%, 50-75% and less than 50%. All data were processed by MS Excel.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In Kazakhstan, there is a positive trend in the growth of GDP per capita, since 2010 it has grown by 2430.3 thousand tenge, an increase of 281% in 2020(Fig. 1). These indicators indicate the growth of the economy as a whole in the country and the increase of welfare of Kazakhstani people.



Figure 1. GDP per capita in the Republic of Kazakhstan, thousand tenge
Note- complied by the authors

In the period from 2010-2020 GRP per capita in Kazakhstani regions increased. More than 3 times is in Akmola, Almaty, Zhambyl, Karaganda, Kostanay, North-Kazakhstan, East-Kazakhstan regions and Shymkent city. Less than 2 times is in Kyzylorda and Mangistau regions.But in the regions, this indicator is the difference relative to the average value for the country (Table 1).

Year	2010	GRP per capita relative to GDP per capita	2015	GRP per capita relative to GDP per capita
GDP per capita	1 336,5		2 330,4	
Akmola	798,1	60%	1 513,9	65%
Aktobe	1 523,1	114%	2 135,0	92%
Almaty	537,9	40%	1 021,3	44%
Atyrau	5 401,0	404%	7 171,2	308%
West-Kazakhstan	1 730,4	129%	2 699,6	116%
Zhambyl	429,0	32%	918,3	39%
Karaganda	1 387,7	104%	2 248,9	97%
Kostanay	970,8	73%	1 561,7	67%
Kyzylorda	1 236,5	93%	1 534,3	66%
Mangystau	2 890,4	216%	3 443,0	148%
Pavlodar	1 384,6	104%	2 293,1	98%
North- Kazakhstan	790,1	59%	1 467,2	63%
Turkestan		0%		0%
East-Kazakhstan	889,8	67%	1 656,2	71%
Astana c.	2 635,7	197%	5 574,8	239%
Almaty c.	2 797,3	209%	5 439,6	233%
Shymkent c.	474,6	36%	891,1	38%
Note- complied by the authors based on source (Bureau of National Statistics, 2023)				

Table 1. Distribution of GRP by groups of regions relative to the national average of GRP per capita, 2010 and 2015

In Kazakhstan there are regions whose GRP per capita are below than the GDP per capita in the republic. In 2010 these include Akmola, Almaty, Zhambyl, Kostanay, Kyzylorda, North Kazakhstan, East Kazakhstan regions and Shymkent city. GRP per capita in Aktobe, Atyrau, West-Kazakhstan, Karaganda, Mangystau, Pavlodar regions and Almaty and Astana cities are higher than the GDP per capita. And the highest GRP per capitawas in Atyrau region – 5,401.0 thousand tenge, the lowest one was in

Zhambyl – 429.0 thousand tenge. Tthe difference relative to the average value for the country, 2018 and 2020 is presented in the table 2.

Year	2018	GRP per capita relative to GDP per capita	2020	GRP per capita relative to GDP per capita
GDP per capita	3 382,5		3 766,8	
Akmola	2 301,0	68%	3 102,5	83%
Aktobe	3 136,0	93%	3 329,8	90%
Almaty	1 378,2	41%	1 805,2	50%
Atyrau	12 465,5	369%	11 883,2	363%
West-Kazakhstan	4 295,8	127%	4 151,2	121%
Zhambyl	1 366,3	40%	1 675,8	45%
Karaganda	3 431,9	101%	4 431,7	123%
Kostanay	2 367,0	70%	3 314,5	92%
Kyzylorda	2 088,1	62%	2 033,3	53%
Mangystau	5 682,5	168%	4 335,1	112%
Pavlodar	3 641,1	108%	4 151,4	117%
North- Kazakhstan	2 177,7	64%	2 877,7	75%
Turkestan	838,2	25%	1 174,2	31%
East-Kazakhstan	2 598,8	77%	3 369,8	84%
Astana c.	6 359,5	188%	6 873,6	167%
Almaty c.	6 635,9	196%	6 913,0	170%
Shymkent c.	2 217,1	66%	2 360,3	55%
Note- complied by the authors based on source (Bureau of National Statistics, 2023)				

Table 2. Distribution of GRP by groups of regions relative to the national average of GRP per capita, 2018 and 2020

In 2020, the Atyrau region remained the leader, and the Turkestan region had the minimum value. The highest GRP per capita was in Atyrau region – 11,883.2 thousand tenge. The lowest GRP per capita was in Turkestan region – 1,174.2 tenge. The gap between the highest GRP per capita and the lowest one was 4,926.4 thousand tenge in 2010 and 10,709.0 thousand tenge in 2020. This indicates an increase in the gap between regions.

Although the GRP per capita in the regions grew up there are a lot of regions whose GRP per capita are below than the GDP per capita(Akmola, Almaty, Zhambyl, Kostanay, Kyzylorda, North Kazakhstan, East Kazakhstan regions and Shymkent city). And in Aktobe region, there is a noticeable decrease from 114% to 90%. In Akmola, Almaty, Zhambyl, Karaganda, Kostanay, Pavlodar, North Kazakhstan, East Kazakhstan regions and Shymkent city had increase in GRP per capita relative to GDP per capita. The opposite situation is in Atyrau, West Kazakhstan and the cities of Astana and Almaty. The indicators of other regions are close to the average value (Table 3).

Regions in relation to the GDP per capita, %	2010		2015		2018		2020	
	No Regions	% GRP in GDP (%)	No Regions	% GRP in GDP (%)	No Regions	% GRP in GDP (%)	No Regions	% GRP in GDP (%)
More than 150	4	53%	3	44%	4	49%	3	38%
110-150	4	23%	2	15%	3	18%	4	25%
85-100	1	5%	3	16%	1	5%	2	10%
75-85	0	-	-	0%	1	4%	2	10%
50-75	4	13%	5	19%	5	18%	4	13%
Less than 50	4	6%	4	7%	3	6%	2	4%
Total	17	100%	17	100%	17	100%	17	100%

Table 3 - Distribution of GRP by groups of regions of the Republic of Kazakhstan relative to the country's GDP per capita

The share of poor regions in the country has decreased in recent years by 2 regions, and in 2020, the Mangistau region fell out of the richest regions. The total share of GRP in GDP of the three strongest regions (Aktau region, Almaty city, Astana city) decreased from 53% to 38%. Regions with readings above the average accounted for 76% (53% + 23%) of GDP in 2010, and in 2020 it decreased by 9% and amounted to 63%.

Thus, a stratification between the regions of the Republic of Kazakhstan is established. In Kazakhstan there is social and economic inequality of the regions. And from 2010 to 2020 the gap of socio-economic development between regions is increased.

V. CONCLUSION

The aim of this study was the assessment the social and economic inequality in Kazakhstan on regional level. Based on the study, the following conclusions were obtained.Firstly, in Kazakhstan, there is a positive trend in the growth of GDP per capita, since 2010 it has grown by 2430.3 thousand tenge, an increase of 281% in 2020 that indicate the growth of the economy as a whole in the country and the increase of welfare of Kazakhstani people.

Secondly, in Kazakhstan there are significant gaps in gross regional product per capita. In 2020, the highest GRP per capita was in Atyrau region – 11,883.2 thousand tenge. The lowest GRP per capita was in Turkestan region – 1,174.2 tenge. The gap between the highest GRP per capita and the lowest one was 10,709.0 thousand tenge. In 2010 it was 4,926.4 thousand tenge.

Thirdly, there are significant gaps in gross regional product per capita relative to gross domestic product per capita. The share of poor regions in the country has decreased from 4 region (Almaty, Zhambyl, Turkestan, Shymkent city) to 2 regions (Zhambyl, Turkestan), and the share of rich regions in the country has decreased from 4 (Atyrau, Mangystau, Almaty and Astana cities) to 3 regions (Atyrau, Almaty and Astana cities).

Thus, Kazakhstan has interregional differentiation of socio-economic development, that indicate the socio-economic inequality in the country. From 2010 to 2020the socio-economic inequality in Kazakhstan had intensified. Socio-economicinequality in Kazakhstani regions requires the development of regional policy in inclusive development and overcome socio-economic inequality.

REFERENCES

[1] Bureau of National Statistics (2023). [updated February 25, 2023; cited March 3, 2023]. Available at: http://www.stat.gov.kz

[2] Iammarino, S., Rodriguez-Pose, A., &Storper, M. (2019). Regional inequality in Europe: evidence, theory and policy implications.Journal of economic geography,19(2), 273-298. https://doi.org/10.1093/jeg/lby021

[3] Ibragimova, K. I., &Turysbekova, A. B. (2022). Study of development trends and development of measures to overcome depopulation of strategically important regions of Kazakhstan. Economics: the strategy and practice, 16(4), 76-89. https://doi.org/10.51176/1997-9967-2021-4-76-89 (In Russ.)

[4] Kireyeva, A., Nurlanova, N., Nurbatsin, A., Saparbek, N., &Alzhanova, F. (2022). Assessing the differences in the levels and dynamics of economic development of Kazakhstani regions. Problems and Perspectives in Management, 20(3), 577-587. doi:10.21511/ppm.20(3).2022.45

[5] Knyaginin, V. N., & Lipetsk, M. S. (2008). Practical aspects of the development of strategies for the socio-economic development of the regions of the Russian Federation (Prakticheskieaspektyrazrabotkistrategijsocial'no-ekonomicheskogorazvitiyaregionov RF). Via in tempore. History. Political Science, 6(2), 42-58. (In Russ.)

[6] Ling, W. (2001). On the Innovation of Market Economy System and Its Approach in the Development of the Western Regions in China.Journal of Northwest University

[7] Nurlanova, N. K., Satybaldin, A. A., Brimbetova, N. Z., &Kireyeva, A. A. (2019). Reduction of Economic Disparities in the Regions of Kazakhstan Based on Inclusive Development.The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business, 6(2), 299–307. https://doi.org/10.13106/JAFEB.2019.VOL6.NO2.299

[8] Panzera, D., &Postiglione, P. (2022). The impact of regional inequality on economic growth: a spatial econometric approach.Regional Studies,56(5), 687-702. https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2021.1910228

[9] Perugini, C., & Martino, G. (2008). Income inequality within European regions: Determinants and effects on growth.Review of Income and Wealth,54(3), 373-406. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-4991.2008.00280.x

[10] Prieto-Rodríguez, J., Rodríguez, J. G., & Salas, R. (2010). Income mobility and economic inequality from a regional perspective.Journal of Applied Economics,13(2), 335-350. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1514-0326(10)60015-3

[11] Sermagambet, U. M., & Smagulova, G. S. (2021). Ways to overcome inequality in socio-economic development of the regions of Kazakhstan (Qazaqstanöñirleriniñälewmettik-ékonomïkalıqdamwınıñteñsizdigineñserwjoldarı).Bulletin of KazNU. Economic series (VestnikKazNU. SeriyaEkonomicheskaya),138(4), 91-101. https://doi.org/10.26577/be.2021.v138.i4.09 (In Kaz.)

[12] Sermagambet, U., Satpayeva, Z., Smagulova, G., Urban, W., Yessenzhigitova, R. (2022). Socio-economic inequality in Kazakhstani regions: Assessment and impact on regional development management. Problems and Perspectives in Management, 20(3), 487-500. https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.20(3).2022.39

[13] Skufina, T. P. (2007). Problems of measuring socio-economic inequality in the regions of the Russian Federation (Problemyizmereniyasocial'no-ekonomicheskogoneravenstvaregionovRossijskojFederacii). The North and the Market: the Formation of an Economic Order (Sever irynok: formirovanieekonomicheskogoporyadka), 2(18), 160. (In Russ.)

[14] Tamenova, S., Satpayeva, Z., Sarkytova, A., &Nurgissayeva, A. (2020). Assessment of sustainable development of region. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Sciencethis link is disabled, 534(1),012008. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/534/1/012008

[15] Usmanov, D. I. (2014). Inequality of socio-economic development of Russian regions. (Neravenstvosocial'no-ekonomicheskogorazvitiyaregionovRossii). European Social Science Journal (Evropejskijzhurnalsocial'nyhnauk), 5(1), 476-480. (In Russ.)

[16] Usmanov, D. I. (2018). Theoretical and methodological foundations of economic inequality of regions (Teoretiko-metodologicheskieosnovyekonomicheskogoneravenstvaregionov). Bulletin of the Belgorod State Technological University named after In G. Shukhov (VestnikBelgorodskogogosudarstvennogotekhnologicheskogouniversitetaim. VG SHuhova), 1, 120-126. (In Russ.)

[17] Zubarevich, N. V., &Safronov, S. G. (2013). Inequality of socio-economic development of regions and cities of Russia in the 2000s: growth or decline? (Neravenstvosocial'no-ekonomicheskogorazvitiyaregionovigorodovRossii 2000-h godov: rostilisnizhenie?). Social Sciences and Modernity (Obshchestvennyenaukiisovremennost'), 6, 15-26.(In Russ.)

★★★